

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Remote Sensing of Environment



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/rse

Nighttime smoke aerosol optical depth over U.S. rural areas: First retrieval from VIIRS moonlight observations

Meng Zhou^{a,b,*}, Jun Wang^{a,b,c,*}, Xi Chen^{b,c}, Xiaoguang Xu^d, Peter R. Colarco^e, Steven D. Miller^f, Jeffrey S. Reid^g, Shobha Kondragunta^h, David Matthew Giles^e, Brent Holben^e

^a Interdisciplinary Graduate Program in Informatics, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

^b Center for Global and Regional Environmental Research, and Iowa Technology Institute, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

^c Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

^d Joint Center for Earth Systems Technology, University of Maryland – Baltimore County, 1000 Hilltop Circle, Baltimore, MD 21250, USA

^e NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

^f Cooperative Institute for Research in the Atmosphere, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA

^g Marine Meteorology Division, Naval Research Laboratory, Monterey, CA 93943, USA

^h Center for Satellite Applications and Research, NOAA, National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service, College Park, MD 20740, USA

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords:

VIIRS DNB

Smoke

AOD retrieval

Retrieval algorithm

Smoke transport

Diurnal cycle Rural air quality

Moonlight observation

ABSTRACT

An algorithm for retrieving nighttime aerosol optical depth (AOD) from the Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) Dav-Night Band (DNB) observations of reflected moonlight is presented for rural areas during the western U.S. fire seasons. The algorithm uses the UNified and Linearized Vector Radiative Transfer Model (UNL-VRTM) with newly developed capabilities for considering lunar illuminations. Cloud and fire pixels are screened out by utilizing the radiance from the VIIRS Moderate-resolution Bands (M-Band) and the DNB. Rural and city pixels are classified based on a pre-calculated city light database. The surface spectral reflectance for DNB ranging from 342 to 1107 nm is estimated by a random forest approach, which is trained using the surface spectral reflectance from the existing spectral libraries. For the fire seasons of 2017 and 2020, the nighttime AOD retrieval is shown to play an indispensable role in describing the nonlinear diurnal movement of smoke transport and discerning the source of smoke plumes heretofore observable only in the daytime. The retrieved AOD values show good agreement with spatiotemporally collocated Aerosol Robotic NETwork (AERONET) and Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP) AOD values, with linear correlation coefficient values of \sim 0.96/0.95 and \sim 86%/69% of the AOD pairs falling in an uncertainty envelope of \pm (0.085 + 0.10AOD), which is superior to AOD reanalysis from Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications, version 2 (MERRA-2). These results affirm the significant potential of nighttime AOD to improve the analysis and forecast of regional to global biomass-burning aerosol distributions, filling a critical gap in the diurnal description of a key element of Earth's climate system.

1. Introduction

The accurate characterization of the spatiotemporal distribution of aerosol particles is important for reducing uncertainties in both the estimation of climate radiative forcing and the assessment of surface air quality. Indeed, previous studies indicate that the net global radiative forcing of aerosol particles in terms of both direct and indirect effects can vary from -0.1 to -1.9 Wm⁻² (Boucher et al., 2013), suggesting they may partially offset the carbon dioxide global warming effects of 1.82 ± 0.19 Wm⁻² (Myhre et al., 2013). Furthermore, high

concentration of surface particulate matter (PM) can cause adverse health effects such as respiratory and cardiovascular diseases in humans (Pope and Dockery, 2006). A recent study shows that the aerosolattributable mortality rate ranges from 13 to 125 with a median of 39 per 100,000 people (Anenberg et al., 2019), equivalent to ~0.04%, which is greater than the varicella fatality rate of ~0.02% for adults (Heymann, 2008). Because atmospheric aerosols have a crucial role in climate prediction and human health (Pöschl, 2005), satellite remote sensing of aerosols – the only means for quantitatively characterizing spatiotemporal variations of aerosol properties globally – has been the

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112717

Received 20 April 2021; Received in revised form 23 August 2021; Accepted 23 September 2021 0034-4257/© 2021 Published by Elsevier Inc.

^{*} Corresponding authors at: Interdisciplinary Graduate Program in Informatics, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA. *E-mail addresses:* meng-zhou-1@uiowa.edu (M. Zhou), jun-wang-1@uiowa.edu (J. Wang).

focus of continuous development, with steady progresses made over the past three decades.

As one of the most important optical parameters for characterizing the distribution of atmospheric aerosols, aerosol optical depth (AOD) is routinely retrieved from various space-borne sensors and then assimilated into or integrated with chemistry transport models for applications such as atmospheric composition forecast or reanalysis (Sessions et al., 2015), surface air quality monitoring, visibility assessments (Hu et al., 2014; Kessner et al., 2013; Randles et al., 2017; van Donkelaar et al., 2006; Wang and Christopher, 2003; Wang et al., 2004; Xian et al., 2019), aerosol-attributable mortality estimation (Hu, 2009; Hu and Rao, 2009; Kloog et al., 2011), and long-term climate change studies, etc. Currently, daily global AOD products are routinely obtained via instruments such as the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) (Hsu et al., 2004; Levy et al., 2003; Lyapustin et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2017) and the Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS, Hsu et al., 2019; Sawyer et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2016; Jackson et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2014) onboard polar orbiting satellites. However, these products are often reported for daytime AOD at the synoptic observing times of these sun-synchronous satellites, namely 1030 local time (Terra MODIS) and 1330 local time (Aqua MODIS and SNPP VIIRS, NOAA20 VIIRS), leaving a 20-h gap devoid of AOD retrievals over the tropical to midlatitude regions where most of the human population resides. Because geostationary satellites can constantly monitor the same earth view at a relatively high temporal frequency, efforts have been made to derive AOD from geostationary satellite observations (Liu et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2010; Thieuleux et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2003b; Zhang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2018; Huff et al., 2021). AOD products from the Geostationary Ocean Color Imager (GOCI) and the Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite R-series (GOES-R) are routinely generated. However, uncertainties when retrieving AOD from geostationary satellites should be further quantified as a function of aerosol model assumption, view geometry, and cloud contamination (Zhang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2018). Despite the benefits of increased temporal sampling, there remains a lack of routine characterization of global AOD distribution at nighttime due to the corresponding lack of sensitivity of infrared measurements to the fine-mode aerosol size distributions. These issues can be overcome, in part, by leveraging novel low-light visible observations capable of sensing faint signals of visible light from moonlight at night.

Nighttime AOD product is needed to supplement daytime AOD products from the existing sensors to describe the diurnal variation of AODs for several important reasons. First, reliable nighttime aerosol observations can help the communities better understand the role of aerosols in atmospheric chemistry. With the absence of sunlight, the nocturnal chemical evolutions of aerosol and trace gases are far different from their daytime counterparts (Wang et al., 2016). The shallow boundary layer and weak turbulent mixing suppress the dispersion of nighttime emissions such as those from power plants. The higher nocturnal concentration of aerosols in the surface layer can thus trigger significant and complex chemical processings and form aerosols that are relevant to air quality, regional haze, fog, and climate issues. Although efforts have been made to study the diurnal variation and corresponding impacts of aerosols (Lennartson et al., 2018; Reid et al., 2017), these analyses have mostly relied on ground observation or model simulation for nighttime AOD. Therefore, nighttime AOD retrieved from satellites can provide much-needed constraints for quantifying aerosol loading and evolution at all times. Second, assimilation of nighttime aerosol observations such as AOD into an air pollution forecast model has the potential to further enhance the model's forecasting skill. Multiple studies show that aerosol assimilation techniques such as the 2D/3D variational (VAR) technique and 4D VAR adjoint method can improve the accuracy of the model forecast (Adhikary et al., 2008; Sekiyama et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2008). However, to achieve this goal, observation at a minimum of 12 h is required, which means that nighttime observation is potentially

valuable as a gap filler in most assimilation applications (Singh et al., 2019). Third, in high-latitude regions such as Alaska, MODIS AOD is available on less than 10% of the days per year due to the low solar zenith angles and long winter-season nights. Thus, applications of AOD for mapping surface aerosol concentrations are dramatically limited in high-latitude regions where climate sensitivity and associated indicators of climate change are high (Myhre et al., 2013; Konya et al., 2021). Nighttime AOD, therefore, is important for $PM_{2.5}$ (Particulate Matter with a diameter of 2.5 microns or less) mapping since the daily air quality standard for $PM_{2.5}$ is often expressed in terms of surface dry $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentration at 24-h averages (Wang et al., 2016). Information about nighttime $PM_{2.5}$ can better constrain the representation of the daily-mean $PM_{2.5}$ concentration and thus the assessment of the surface air quality (Fu et al., 2018).

A few studies have attempted to retrieve AOD at night from the VIIRS Day-Night Band (DNB), but they concentrated on the measurements of city lights from space and did not use the backscattered moonlight at night. For example, Johnson et al. (2013), Zhang et al. (2019), and studies cited therein explored the capability of nighttime AOD retrieval over urban regions using city lights observed by VIIRS DNB. In parallel, Wang et al. (2016) and Fu et al. (2018) investigated the correlation of the DNB observations and surface $PM_{2.5}$ at the urban scale. Although progress is continuously being made, the limitations of these studies lie in their assumptions when relating AOD to DNB observation. For example, multiple scattering and gas absorption are neglected in these algorithms, as is the surface reflection of city light (Wang et al., 2020b). In addition, the spatial scale of these studies is limited to urban areas, leaving vast rural regions unexplored.

Here, we develop a new technique to significantly improve the AOD retrieval availability at night from passive satellite remote sensing techniques by using the back-scattered moonlight over rural areas (i.e., away from city light emissions, which present a source of contamination to this technique). This work is the first in a series of studies to demonstrate the potential of the VIIRS DNB for nighttime AOD retrieval and air quality applications over rural and remote areas removed from dominant city light sources. As the first demonstration, our development of the retrieval algorithm strategically focuses on pollution events caused by North American smoke transport that frequently affects air quality in rural areas; in these cases, the strong signal of aerosol backscatter favors the retrieval of AOD that has large spatial and temporal variations at night as a result of long-range transport. To simulate the moonlight measured by DNB, the algorithm uses the latest UNified and Linearized Vector Radiative Transfer Model (UNL-VRTM, Wang et al., 2014) that has been applied to multiple designs of satellite mission concepts and retrieval algorithms for remote sensing of aerosols (Ding et al., 2016; Hou et al., 2017; Xu and Wang, 2015; Xu et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2015). The latest development allows UNL-VRTM to conduct nighttime radiative transfer calculation by including additional source functions to treat illumination from the Moon, fires, and artificial lights (Wang et al., 2020b).

This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 provides a brief overview of VIIRS DNB and the data sets used in this work, as well as the study area and time period. Section 3 details the nighttime AOD retrieval scheme, and Section 4 provides an assessment of retrieval results with Aerosol Robotic NETwork (AERONET) and Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP) data and compares them to the reanalysis of AOD from Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications, version 2 (MERRA-2). Sensitivity analysis with respect to the key parameters of the retrieval framework is also conducted in this section. Finally, Section 5 summarizes the paper.

2. Data, data processing, and model

The nocturnal AOD algorithm is designed to use VIIRS nighttime observation (Section 2.1) to retrieve AOD through a look-up-table (LUT) approach that is generated using the UNL-VRTM (Section 2.4) radiative

transfer code. AERONET data (Section 2.2) and other data (such as MODIS Multi-Angle Implementation of Atmospheric Correction (MAIAC) AOD, MERRA-2 data, and CALIOP data, as in Section 2.3) are also used to evaluate the retrieval.

2.1. VIIRS nighttime observation

Carried onboard the polar-orbiting satellites Suomi-NPP and NOAA-20 (also referred to as the Joint Polar Satellite System-1, or JPSS-1), VIIRS is the latest generation of operational moderate resolution imager following the legacy of the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and MODIS on the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's (NASA) Terra and Aqua satellites. VIIRS images Earth in 22 bands with a nominal spatial resolution of 375 m in its five imagery bands (I-bands), 750 m in its sixteen moderate-resolution bands (M-bands), and 742 m in DNB. VIIRS DNB (Miller et al., 2013) is a panchromatic band in the visible and near-infrared spectrum (342–1107 nm). The wide dynamic range (from 3×10^{-9} to 0.02 W $cm^{-2} sr^{-1}$) of DNB gives it the ability to detect low light such as reflected lunar radiances at night. Detailed information about the VIIRS sensor can be found in elsewhere in the literature (Elvidge et al., 2017; Lei et al., 2020; Liao et al., 2013; Polivka et al., 2015; Wolfe et al., 2013).

We obtained the VIIRS Suomi NPP Level-1B calibrated DNB radiance data (VNP02DNB, Chen et al., 2017) and the DNB geolocation product (VNP03DNB) from the NASA Level-1 and Atmosphere Archive & Distribution System (LAADS, https://ladsweb.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/). We also obtained the corresponding Level-1B calibrated M-band radiance product (VNP02MOD) and geolocation product (VNP03MOD) for cloud masking (Section 3.3). The VIIRS Black Marble product (VNP46A1, Román et al., 2018) was obtained and used for generating an artificial city light mask. It should be noted that all the VIIRS products used in this study are for nighttime only. Although Level-1B products have undergone vigorous calibration processes to correct the contamination from various sources such as stray light (Mills et al., 2013; Shao et al., 2018), subsequent studies have shown that residual contaminations can remain in Level-1B. To obtain better data quality in this study, we considered only the pixels whose quality flags are equal to 0 (e.g., best quality) to minimize data uncertainty associated with the sensor itself. For example, this quality threshold will exclude pixels with missing or corrupted calibration data as well as pixels that are contaminated by stray light and those that are saturated.

2.2. AERONET AOD and aerosol properties

AERONET is a network of autonomously operated Cimel Electronique Sun-sky photometers used to measure Sun collimated direct beam irradiance (at 440 nm, 670 nm, 870 nm, 940 nm, and 1020 nm) and directional sky radiance, which provides scientific-quality column-integrated aerosol properties of AOD and aerosol microphysical and radiative properties. Since 1993, when AERONET began to collect daytime aerosol information, the number of AERONET sites has continuously increased; there were more than 500 active sites as of 2019 (Giles et al., 2019). In 2015, AERONET began to extend its measurements to nighttime, deriving AOD using the Moon as the light source (Barreto et al., 2016; Berkoff et al., 2011; Giles et al., 2019b). Currently, there are ~ 200 AERONET sites reporting nighttime lunar-based AOD. This research used officially released AERONET Level 2.0 Version 3 (V3) Aerosol Inversion products to define the aerosol model for the radiative transfer simulation (Section 3.4). The AERONET V3 data set is now available on the AERONET web site (https://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov). AEROSOL OPTICAL DEPTH (V3)-SOLAR and LUNAR AOD (V3)-PRO-VISIONAL were used to evaluate the DNB retrievals. It should be noted that AERONET radiance measurement at night have no absolute calibration, but rather relies on the relative transformation of the daytime calibration coefficient to the nighttime (Li et al., 2016). The total

calibration uncertainty ranges from 5% to 12% depending on wavelength (greatest for 1640 nm) and lunar phase angle (greatest for 90 degrees). While the present estimated nighttime lunar-based AOD uncertainty is approximately 0.03, the current products may also have significant cloud contamination, introducing additional bias (Giles et al., 2019). As a result, the AERONET V3 nighttime lunar-based AOD dataset is currently considered "provisional" Level 1.5 due to ongoing development to improve the instrument's nighttime calibration, cloud screening, and quality controls. Due to the changing nature of the current dataset, the AERONET V3 data used for this study are provided as a supplement.

For this study, we evaluated the nighttime AOD derived from VIIRS DNB at 550 nm against both inter-day interpolated solar-based ($\tau_{\rm ITS}$) and nighttime lunar-based (τ_{LNR}) AERONET AOD retrievals. To make the validation comparable, we firstly used the Angstrom exponent (AE) provided to interpolate AERONET AOD (both daytime and nighttime) to 550 nm. For the nighttime data set, a one-hour temporal window was used to acquire the mean τ_{LNR} at the VIIRS overpass time. To ensure the data quality, a minimum of two measurements within the one-hour window are required. While τ_{LNR} was directly measured at night and could be easily interpolated to the VIIRS overpass time, the τ_{TTS} needed elaborate treatment. For the daytime data set, AOD measurements were firstly aggregated into the hourly level using the same data quality control criterion. Then, a 20-h temporal window was used to acquire the two nearest daytime AOD measured on the following morning and the previous afternoon for the VIIRS overpass time. Hence, for a nominal VIIRS nighttime overpass of 0130 local time, the allowed time window is 1530 on the previous afternoon and 1130 in the following morning. Then, the τ_{ITS} was obtained by interpolating linearly from the two closest daytime solar AOD values in the time domain to the satellite overpass time.

2.3. Other data

We used MAIAC AOD (MCD19A2, Lyapustin et al., 2011) for spatial comparison with the DNB retrieval results. The MODIS MAIAC product is valid during the daytime only and was used here as a reference to qualitatively estimate the general spatial distribution of DNB-retrieved AOD at night. Furthermore, to obtain the surface albedo that was needed as the boundary condition for the DNB AOD retrieval, we derived a monthly climatology of the surface bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) from ten years of MODIS MAIAC spectral BRDF/albedo product (MCD19A3). MCD19A3 provides three coefficients (for the isotropic, volumetric, and geometric kernels, respectively) derived from the Ross-Thicke Li-Sparse (RTLS, Wanner et al., 1995) BRDF model for MODIS bands 1 through 8, representing cloudfree and low aerosol conditions. A monthly climatology of the RTLS parameter was obtained by averaging the corresponding coefficient in the same month from the past ten years' records. MODIS MAIAC products are generated on the 1 km sinusoidal grid and can be obtained at LAADS.

Nighttime aerosol measurements from CALIOP (Getzewich et al., 2016), specifically the CALIOP Level 2, Version 4.10 (https://opendap.larc.nasa.gov/opendap/CALIPSO/) 5 km aerosol layer product, aboard the Cloud-Aerosol Lidar Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations (CALIPSO) spacecraft was used to validate the DNB AOD retrieval. Column AOD at 532 nm with 5 km resolution was obtained by integrating the aerosol extinction coefficients along the altitude.

Assimilated meteorological (Gelaro et al., 2017) and aerosol (Randles et al., 2017; Buchard et al., 2017) fields were used from the MERRA-2. MERRA-2 meteorological fields (M2T3NVASM) were used to provide the ground temperature as the ancillary information needed for detecting cloudy pixels in VIIRS DNB data. The MERRA-2 AOD analysis (M2I3NXGAS) data were also used as the baseline to evaluate the added value of the DNB retrieval; the DNB retrieval should be better than those model simulations when it comes to agreement with AERONET and CALIOP nighttime AOD if they are to be deemed useful for future model forecast and evaluation. The spatial resolution of the MERRA-2 data set is $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.625^{\circ}$ in 72 hybrid sigma/pressure levels, and its temporal resolution is 3 h. Surface temperature and AOD were first linearly interpolated to the DNB overpass time. Then, for surface temperature, a bi-linear interpolation was used to interpolate the temperature to DNB grids. All the MERRA-2 collections used in this research could be obtained at Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO, https://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/reanalysis/MERRA-2/).

2.4. Nighttime UNL-VRTM

As mentioned earlier, the UNL-VRTM comprises seven modules: (1) a vector linearized radiative transfer model (Spurr and Christi, 2019; Wang et al., 2014; Kokhanovsky, 2019), (2) a linearized Mie scattering code (Spurr et al., 2012), (3) a linearized T-matrix electro-magnetic scattering code (Spurr et al., 2012), (4) a surface BRDF and bidirectional polarization function (BPDF) module, (5) a module that computes Rayleigh scattering and gas absorption (using HITRAN and other molecular absorption cross-section databases), and (6-7) two modules for the analysis—an optimal inversion code and a visualization tool for diagnosis. The latest developments incorporate (a) a spectrally resolved moon-phase-based lunar irradiance model developed by Miller and Turner (2009); (b) an astrophysical code (Schlyter, 2010) that computes geometrical configurations (zenith and azimuth angles as well as the moon phase angle, earth-moon distance, and sun-moon distance) of any given time and any given location on Earth; (c) an extension of the representation of the surface emission at the bottom layer of the atmosphere by adding a Planck function-based term for fire radiative emission sources and a spectral-based term for modern artificial lamps (Wang et al., 2020b). These new features empower the UNL-VRTM to simulate the light transfer of nighttime scenarios, such as the backscattered radiation fields illuminated by the Moon as a function of moon phase, as well as the upwell radiance of artificial light or fires. Comparison with moonlight measured at NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) shows that the uncertainty of the UNL-VRTM simulated transfer of moonlight is within $\pm 10\%$ (Wang et al., 2020b).

3. Nighttime AOD retrieval algorithm

Fig. 1 illustrates the procedure for processing VIIRS DNB data and the subsequent retrieval of the nighttime AOD. Briefly, the retrieval algorithm entails the following steps:

- 1) Calculate the TOA reflectance of the DNB from the Level-1B DNB radiance (Section 3.1) and the lunar irradiance model.
- 2) Estimate the surface reflectance for the DNB observation (Section 3.2).
- 3) Identify the VIIRS pixels that are suitable for the AOD retrieval. Water body, cloud, fire, and urban areas are excluded through various tests in this step (Section 3.3).
- 4) Invert the cloud-free TOA reflectance of DNB in 3) from a precalculated LUT to obtain AOD (Section 3.4).

We describe each of these in the sections below.

3.1. Obtain the TOA reflectance

As stated earlier, DNB is a panchromatic band ranging from 342 nm to 1107 nm. The prelaunch DNB relative spectral response (RSR) function was carefully designed to monitor the red and near infrared NIR light with more weights on the NIR to minimize atmospheric (Rayleigh) scatter contamination. However, the centroid of the actual RSR after launch shifted slightly to the blue, and the full width at half maximum decreased slightly because of the degrading of the mirror coatings. The postlaunch DNB RSR can be obtained from the NOAA STAR Calibration center online: https://ncc.nesdis.noaa.gov/VIIRS/VIIRSSpectralRespon seFunctions.php. It provides the relative response function for 16 sets of detectors and 52 wavelengths ranging from 342 nm to 1107 nm (in total 832 digital numbers). With the RSR, the TOA DNB reflectance values are calculated as:

$$\rho_{\rm DNB}^{\rm TOA} = \frac{\pi I_{\rm DNB}}{\mu_0 \alpha_t \int_{\lambda_2}^{\lambda_1} f_{\rm RSR}(\lambda) E_{\rm IAU}^{\rm TOA}(\lambda \theta_p) d\lambda} \tag{1}$$

where $I_{\rm DNB}$ is the DNB-measured radiance, $E_{\rm 1AU}^{\rm TOA}(\lambda,\theta_p)$ stands for the



Fig. 1. Flowchart of the nighttime AOD retrieval algorithm.

standardized moon irradiance varying with wavelength λ and moon phase angle θ_p , $f_{\text{RSR}}(\lambda)$ is the DNB RSR at wavelength λ , and μ_0 is the is the cosine of moon zenith angle. I_{DNB} is the panchromatic radiance measured by VIIRS and can be calculated as:

$$I_{\rm DNB} = \int_{\lambda_2}^{\lambda_1} f_{\rm RSR}(\lambda) I(\lambda) d\lambda$$
 (2)

where $I(\lambda)$ is the TOA radiance at each DNB sub-band. Unlike the solar irradiance, which can be viewed as nearly constant with time, the lunar irradiance is determined by the geometry between the Sun, Earth, and Moon. Thus, a time-dependent adjustment factor α_t needs to be calculated, following Miller and Turner (2009) as follows:

$$\alpha_{t} = \left(\frac{\overline{R}_{se}}{\overline{R}_{se}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{\overline{R}_{me} - r_{e}}{\overline{R}_{me} - r_{e}}\right)^{2}$$
(3)

where \overline{R}_{se} and \overline{R}_{me} are the mean values of Sun-Earth distance and Moon-Earth distance, respectively, r_e is the mean value of the earth radius, and R_{me} and R_{se} are the instantaneous Moon-Earth and Sun-Earth distances, respectively, at the satellite overpass time and are available in the VNP03DNB data set. The standardized lunar irradiance model we used in this study was developed by Miller and Turner (2009) and has a spectrum range of 202–2800 nm, with a spectral resolution of 1 nm. In the UNL-VRTM, a Gaussian shape response function with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 15 nm (Liao et al., 2013) was used to convolve this lunar irradiance database from 1 nm of spectral resolution of the data set is one degree. A linear interpolation is used here to obtain the moon irradiance of a given moon phase at the satellite overpass time.

As shown in Eqns. (1) and (2), the differences between $\rho_{\rm DNB}^{\rm TOA}$ and the solar band reflectance that is commonly used in the daytime retrieval algorithms lie in three areas. First, assuming that other factors such as atmosphere and land properties and the satellite view angle are equal, $\rho_{\rm DNB}^{\rm TOA}$ further depends on the Sun-Earth-Moon geometry; the impact of the geometry on $\rho_{\rm DNB}^{\rm TOA}$ can be up to 15% since α_t can vary from 0.90–1.17. Second, unlike the solar band reflectance calculation in which the incoming irradiance at TOA depends on solar zenith angle only (considering solar spectral irradiance is relatively constant), $\rho_{\rm DNB}^{\rm TOA}$ is a panchromatic reflectance considering the wide spectral range of the DNB sensor, within which several strong atmospheric gas absorption bands exist, while the solar band reflectance for aerosol retrieval is often a narrow-band reflectance at atmospherical window channel.



gorithm (Levy et al., 2003)). However, these past methods are not applicable to the DNB, which has a much wider spectral band width within which surface reflectance can vary significantly with wavelength. As shown in Fig. 2a, the DNB covers a relatively wide range of the spectrum, where a strong red edge phenomenon, defined as the dramatic change of surface reflectance from visible to NIR band, occurs in active photosynthetic surfaces such as vegetation and rangeland.

The estimates of surface reflectance present another challenge for

aerosol retrievals. Hou et al. (2017) summarized the surface assumptions of many existing retrieval algorithms. Although varying in wavelength, the similarity of these algorithms using single-angle measurements is that they use a limited number of narrow bands (<20

To obtain the surface spectral reflectance for each sub-band $(R(\lambda))$ of DNB, a random forest (RF) technique (Svetnik et al., 2003) was applied to recover the surface reflectance for the 52 sub-bands of DNB from the MODIS surface reflectance at 7 bands. Fig. S1 in the supplemental materials shows the flowchart of the surface reflectance estimation. Since essentially it is a machine-learning-based approach, it consists of two steps, namely training and application. The data set used for training the RF was the combination of the spectral surface reflectance databases compiled by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) (Clark et al., 2007) and the Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission Reflection Radiometer team (ASTER) (Baldridge et al., 2009) for a wide range of surface types. In the training process, spectral surface reflectance from USGS and ASTER databases were sampled to the 7 MODIS narrow bands to form the feature data set. Spectral surface reflectance data at the 52 DNB sub-bands were also sampled from the database to form the label data set. Then, feature and label data sets were fed into the RF iteratively to predict the label from the feature. In essence, the RF model is trained by using the reflectance at the MODIS 7 bands to predict the reflectance values at the 52 DNB sub-bands. To verify the approach, 70% of the USGS and ASTER data set were used to form the training set, 15% were used to validate the training process, and the remaining 15% were held back and only applied to the final assessment of the model. Fig. 2b presents the final assessment results of the RF-based DNB spectral surface reflectance estimation. The linear correlation coefficient of 1.0 and small root mean square error (RMSE) of ~0.01 indicates that the RF can estimate the DNB spectral surface reflectance with a very high accuracy. Once trained, in the application phase, 7 bands of MODIS surface BRDFs were derived from the 10-yr average of MODIS monthly surface BRDF climatology at VIIRS overpass geometries, and were subsequently fed into the RF model to predict the spectral reflectance at DNB's 52



Fig. 2. (a) Spectral reflectance of different land surface types. The shaded area denotes the DNB relative spectral response (RSR) function. MODIS bands within the DNB RSR are denoted as dashed brown lines and are centered at 412, 470, 550, 645, and 860 nm (from left to right). (b) Validation of the surface spectral reflectance estimated by machine-learning via the random-forest approach. The dashed line is the ±0.05 error line. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

3.2. Determination of the DNB surface reflectance

sub-bands. Section 4.3 provides the undertainty analysis of the surface reflectance toward the AOD retrieval. However, AOD retrieval uncertainty due to the surface may remain and needs to be further qualified in future work, as currently there is no direct measurement of broadband reflectance in the spectral range of DNB.

3.3. Determination of the suitable pixels for retrieval

After acquiring the DNB TOA reflectance and the surface reflectance, the algorithm deployed a series of steps to select pixels suitable for AOD retrieval, including the screening of pixels contaminated by artificial lights, clouds, fires, and water surfaces. For the rural region, most of which is not affected by artificial lights, moonlight is the main source of illumination and the artificial lights present a form of noise. In the study, we masked the city and artificial light pixels using a pre-calculated city light database. This city light database was derived from the threemonth statistics of the black marble product VNP46A1. The nighttime light coefficient of variance, which was defined as the ratio between the three-month standard deviation of the DNB radiance and the corresponding mean, was calculated on each of the standard VNP46A1 grids. Pixels whose coefficients of variance were larger than the threshold of 0.5 were kept for possible retrieval. Like the city and artificial light, active fire also serves as noise when moonlight is used as the light source for the retrieval. Although the operational VIIRS M-band active fire detection (Csiszar et al., 2014) provides near-real-time fire detection products, several studies (Polivka et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2020a) indicated that quite a few fire pixels can be missed by the operational algorithm. We also refined the M-band nighttime fire detection scheme based on our past work (Polivka et al., 2016) with the use of the DNB to detect fire light for more aggressive classification of fire pixels and subsequent removal of these pixels in the retrieval. However, it should be noted that the city and artificial light mask could only remove stable light sources such as the city light. It is challenging to identify and mask transient light presented on the ground such as the traffic lights. Also, the fire mask would only mask out the fire pixels themselves. In other words, the aforementioned upwell light source (both the artificical and fire light) would potentially illuminate their adjacent dark pixels. The radius and strength of this illumination were affected by multiple factors, including but not limited to the intensity of the light source, the aerosol loading, the aerosol geometric thickness, and the view geometry of DNB. The current method might fail to identify dark pixels that were illuminated by diffuse radiance from another surface light source. This is a limitation of the current algorithm and a direction for improvement.

Using VIS and NIR channel information, conventional cloud screening algorithms identify a cloud pixel by detecting the reflectance, temperature, or spatial variation caused by the presence of the cloud (Saunders, 1986; Saunders and Kriebel, 1988). However, due to the lack of visible band information, most of the nighttime cloud mask algorithms can only detect the cloud through infrared channels. For example, four tests are adopted in the current operational VIIRS nighttime cloud mask algorithm for land surface (Kopp et al., 2014), including one brightness temperature test (10.76 µm) and three brightness temperature difference tests (10.76 µm - 12.01 µm, 3.7 µm -12.06 µm, and 10.76 µm - 3.7 µm) in Thermal Emissive Bands (TEB). Although DNB records visible light at night that could be used to help mask the cloud, the pixel footprint mismatch between DNB and M-band observations is a hurdle when it comes to combining them for cloud mask in a manner similar to the daytime cloud mask algorithm. Wang et al. (2020a) have developed a fast-mapping method to resample observations from the DNB to the M-band footprint. Here, this approach was applied inversely to map the M-band observations to DNB footprint, which enabled us to add two tests for further screening out cloudy pixels in addition to the current operational nighttime cloud mask scheme. The first one was the DNB radiance test; pixels whose DNB radiance were greater than a certain threshold were flagged as cloudy pixels. Since the background radiance was affected by the moon light and changed with

time, the threshold used in this test was dynamically determined through a histogram method (similar to our past work by Polivka et al., 2016). The second was the DNB spatial coherence test. A spatial window of 3×3 pixels was used to test the local uniformity for a pixel. The DNB cloud tests were only applied to the rural region.

Finally, for each identified clean pixel, a 3×3 spatial window was applied to smooth the TOA reflectance. The retrieval would only be done when the number of valid pixels was greater than 4. Considering the 750 m spatial resolution of DNB, the spatial variation of aerosol in this ($\sim 2 \times 2$ km) spatial window should be relatively small. It was expected that when more pixels were used in the reflectance smoothing, the retrieval error would be smaller (although the reduction of the error is not significant, as discussed in Section 4.3).

3.4. Lookup table (LUT)

The LUT for the current retrieval consisted of simulated DNB TOA reflectance for a set of AOD values under various atmospheric conditions and observation scenarios such as different view geometries, moon phases, and surface reflectances, as shown in Table 1. TOA reflectance at each DNB sub-band was first computed in UNL-VRTM with the treatment of aerosol optical properties at each corresponding band and was subsequently used to compute DNB reflectance at TOA with consideration of RSR. Details are provided by Wang et al. (2020b). Thereby, a 6D radiance data cube was generated in dimensions of the AOD, moon zenith angle, view zenith angle, scattering angle, wavelength, and surface reflectance ($N_{\tau} \times N_{mza} \times N_{vza} \times N_{sca} \times N_{\lambda} \times N_{refl}$). It should be noted that N_{τ} is the broadband parameter representing the number of the reported AOD at 550 nm, while N_{λ} is the number of the DNB's sub-bands, and $N_{\rm refl}$ is the number of the surface reflectances used in the simulation. $N_{\rm mza}$, $N_{\rm vza}$, and $N_{\rm sca}$ are the geometry dimensions. When applied to retrieval, radiances from the 6D data cube were first extracted based on the view geometry to get a 3D data cube $(N_{\tau} \times N_{\lambda} \times N_{\text{refl}})$ through interpolation. Then, surface spectral reflectance was considered at each sub-band to extract the radiance from the 3D data cube, forming a 2D data array ($N_{\tau} \times N_{\lambda}$). Finally, TOA radiances at these DNB sub-bands were convolved with the DNB RSR to obtain the panchromatic DNB reflectance at TOA as part of the LUT. In this way, the final entry of LUT was the broadband DNB TOA reflectance as a function of the AOD with the consideration of the DNB RSR. Meanwhile, in the radiative transfer simulation, we assumed a mid-latitude summer atmospheric profile with a surface pressure of 1013 mb. In this way, the Rayleigh scattering effect

Adopted	noromotoro	for	concreting	LUT
Adopted	Darameters	ior	generating	LUIS

Dimension Name	Variable Name in Table	Number of entries	Discrete Values
Wavelength AOD at 550 nm	$egin{array}{c} N_\lambda\ N_ au\ N_ au \end{array}$	52 20	492 to 1020 nm every 15 nm 0.00, 0.01, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15,0.20, 0.30, 0.40, 0.60, 0.80, 1.00, 1.20, 1.40, 1.60, 1.80, 2.00, 2.50, 3.00, 4.00, 5.00
Moon zenith angle	N _{mza}	21	0°, 4', 8°, 12°, 16°, 20°, 24°, 28°, 32°, 36°, 40, 44°, 48°, 52°, 56°, 64°, 68°, 72°, 76°, 80°
Sensor zenith angle	N _{vza}	20	0°, 2.84°, 6.52°, 10.22°, 13.93°, 17.64°, 21.35°, 25.06°, 28.77°, 32.48°, 36.19°, 39.90°, 43.61°, 47.32°, 51.03°, 54.74°, 58.46°, 62.17°, 65.88°, 69.59° Every 4' interval in the range from
Scattering angle	N _{sca}	5527	$180 - (\theta_s + \theta_v)$ to $180 - \theta_s + \theta_v $ for all pairs combination of moon zenith angle and sensor zenith angle
Surface reflectance	$N_{ m refl}$	17	0 to 0.8, with a step of 0.05

was considered in the algorithm. We neglected the effects of surface pressure variation on the Rayleigh optical depth. As shown in Fig. S2, although the Rayleigh optical depth varies from 0.155 at 492 nm to 0.007 at 1020 nm, Rayleigh scattering (with consideration of DNB RSR) only contributes to <0.045 optical depth over typical green surfaces in rural areas. Considering a variation of surface pressure of 20% for the land surface, which is applicable for most of the land surface, the AOD retrieval error caused by using a constant surface pressure for Rayleigh scattering estimation was within 0.01.

The aerosol model (Table 2) used in the radiative transfer calculation consisted of the volume media radius and effective variance for both coarse and fine modes, the fine mode fraction, and a refractive index of 440, 675, 870, and 1020 nm. They were derived by a cluster analysis (Omar et al., 2005; Xu and Wang, 2015) of the AERONET V3 Level 2.0 retrievals during three fire seasons (July to October for 2017, 2018, and 2020) over the continental U.S. (Sinyuk et al., 2020). We intentionally excluded 2019 since there were no significant fire events that year. As part of the AERONET inversion products, optical parameters, including the volume median radius and the effective variance for both the coarse mode and fine mode of the aerosol, as well as the fine mode fraction, were binned together in our analysis by increments of 0.1 in 675 nm AOD (τ_{675}). Then, to achieve the best fit, either linear or logarithm regression was applied to each aforementioned parameter using τ_{675} as the reference variable; the results are that the aerosol size distribution parameters and fine-mode fraction can be modeled dynamically as a function of AOD (Remer et al., 2005). Note that Level 2.0 data has a minimum 440 nm AOD threshold of 0.4 for single scattering albedo (SSA). Hence, in the regression process, we included the SSAs for AODs that are less than 0.4 from the AERONET Level 1.5 data set. Although it may introduce additional bias, the SSA dynamic model would only provide qualitative knowledge of the SSA variability with the AOD. As shown in Fig. S3, the SSA of the aerosol model varies from 0.93 at conditions of very low AOD of \sim 0.1 to 0.98 at the polluted condition of AOD up to 3; this indicates that aerosol released by the biomass burning enhanced the scattering of the light.

The process for prescribing refractive index needed elaboration. Since the imaginary part of the refractive index (m_i) has strong wavelength dependence, its value at 52 sub-bands of DNB were computed based on the refractive index at 440 nm, 675 nm, 870 nm, and 1020 nm. Specifically, a two-dimensional cubic spline interpolation as function of wavelength and AOD was applied to derive the imaginary part of the refractive index at every DNB sub-band. Same as the SSA, the Level 2.0 data set does not contain m_i retrievals for AOD less than 0.4, and we included this portion of data from the Level 1.5 data set. For 675 nm, the m_i ranges from 0.01 to 0.006 as AOD increases, making the aerosol model dimmer than the DT non-absorbing aerosol model of 0.007 when AOD is low and brighter than the DT moderately absorbing aerosol model of 0.008 when AOD is high. In the cluster analysis, we also found

Table 2

Aerosol model.

Parameter Name	Short Name	Value
Volume Median Radius (Coarse Model) Effective variance (Coarse Model) Volume Median Radius (Fine Model) Effective variance (Fine Model) Fine mode fraction	VMR-C V _{eff} -C VMR-F V _{eff} -F FMF	$\begin{array}{c} 0.24204\tau + 3.3714 \\ -0.03067\tau + 0.63419 \\ 0.01335\tau + 0.2055 \\ 0.00764\tau + 0.42631 \\ 0.0523 \ln \tau + 0.94101 \end{array}$
Real art of refractive index Imaginary art of refractive index (440 nm)	$m_{\rm r}$ $m_{\rm i440}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.53 \\ -0.00092 \ln \tau + \\ 0.00901 \end{array}$
Imaginary art of refractive index (675 nm)	<i>m</i> _{i675}	$-0.00118 \ln \tau + 0.00750$
Imaginary art of refractive index (870 nm)	m_{i870}	$-0.00209 \ln au + 0.00686$
Imaginary art of refractive index (1020 nm)	$m_{ m i1020}$	$-0.00268 \ln \tau + 0.00642$

that the real part of the refractive index (m_r) showed no discernable variation with the AOD or wavelength. As such, an average value of 1.53 was assumed for the m_r . This particular average value is only derived for the domain of interest (DOI) and determined primarily for biomass burning smoke conditions. Corresponding to Fig. S3, which shows SSA, Fig. S4 shows the imaginary part of the refractive index, which mainly controls the absorptivity of the aerosol; it decreases as AOD increases, indicating that a more scattering aerosol would present when AOD is high.

4. Retrieval demonstration and validation

The retrieval algorithm was applied to two cases over the western U. S. during the fire seasons of 2017 and 2020. The first case was from Sept. 4th to 10th, 2017, when multiple fires occurred in northern California. Oregon, and Idaho simultaneously. The blue box in Fig. 3 illustrates the DOI of the first case, where AERONET had 11 sites with daytime measurements and 3 sites with nighttime measurements available during the time period of the first case study. We compared the VIIRS nighttime AOD (τ_{DNB}) retrieved in the work with AERONET τ_{TTS} and CALIOP nighttime AOD (τ_{CN}). MERRA-2 nighttime AOD (τ_{M2}) was interpolated to the VIIRS overpass time and was also included in the comparison. The second case was from Sept. 25th to Oct. 6th, 2020. In the second case, the DOI was extended by 5 degrees to the east (the orange box in Fig. 3) to include a special event of local smoke transport that occurred in Colorado; this event showed a distinct diurnal variation pattern that can highlight the importance of this work. Within the DOI for case II, AERONET had 24 sites of daytime measurements and 12 sites of nighttime measurements available. It should be noted that the spatial comparison of τ_{CN} and τ_{DNB} is presented and discussed in case I. For case II, this comparison can be found in the supplemental materials (Fig. S6).

4.1. Case I: 2017 fire season

Fig. 4 shows the nighttime DNB images and daytime true color images of the two selected days (Sept. 6th and Sept. 7th, 2017) in the first case study over the DOI. Fires are marked as red dots in all the figures. Hundreds of fire events occurred within the DOI, including the Oak Fire in Northern California, the Chetco Bar Fire in Southern Oregon, the Highline Fire in Idaho, and the Conrow Fire in Montana (Fig. 4b and d). These persistent fires produced copious smoke that significantly degraded the regional air quality. As shown in the synoptic map in Fig. S5, a high-pressure system in the Northwest and a low-pressure system in the Quebec region caused strong eastward smoke transport that went through the Cascades and Rockies and reached as far east as the Great Lakes region. These fresh smoke episodes scattered more moonlight back to space than the more typical pristine conditions and can even be discerned in the nighttime images as shown in Fig. 4a and c. Indeed, the moon illumination fraction (MIF) during the period of Sept. 4th to 10th increased from 86% to 100%, then decreased to 73%, providing good MIF conditions for the aerosol retrieval.

The spatial distribution of nighttime AOD retrieved over rural regions in the DOI from VIIRS DNB, and its comparison with the MODIS MAIAC AOD in daytime, are shown in Fig. 5 (local time is ~6–7 h behind UTC). In general, the DNB-derived AODs show good spatial agreement and temporal continuation with the daytime MODIS MAIAC counterparts. The nighttime AOD values are also comparable to those of MAIAC, and together they provide a more complete description of the temporal progression of smoke transport than either of them alone. As shown in Fig. 5, a very dense smoke layer drifted from the U.S. northwest coastline to the U.S. inter-mountain west and high plains area. In the early morning on Sept. 6th (nighttime), the smoke reached mid-Idaho, while southeast Idaho remained clean as shown in Fig. 5a. After 10 h of daytime transport, smoke layers covered the entire state of Idaho, as shown in Fig. 5b. However, this smoke layer barely progressed eastward for the next 10 h, as evident when comparing the daytime and nighttime AOD



Fig. 3. DOIs of the case studies. The dashed line denotes the DOI. The dots illustrate the locations of the daytime AERONET sites, and the stars represent the nighttime sites. Black markers donote AERONET sites that had measurement for both 2017 and 2020, the orange ones represent AERONET sites operated only on 2020, and green ones are the AERONET sites only for 2017. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 4. VIIRS nighttime DNB images (left column) and daytime true color images for the selected two consecutive days. (a) 10:30 UTC, Sept. 6th, 2017; (b) 20:20 UTC, Sept. 6th, 2017; (c) 10:12 UTC, Sept. 7th, 2017; (d) 19:48 UTC, Sept. 7th, 2017. The red dots on the map represent the fire pixels adopted from the VIIRS operational activate fire detection algorithm (VNP14). See text for details. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 5. Comparison of the DNB-retrieved AOD and MODIS MAIAC AOD. (a) DNB AOD at 1030 UTC, Sept. 6th, 2017; (b) MODIS MAIAC AOD at 2100 UTC, Sept. 6th, 2017; (c) DNB AOD at 1012 UTC, Sept. 7th, 2017; (d) MODIS MAIAC AOD at UTC 18:25, Sept. 7th, 2017. The circles denote the locations of the AERONET daytime AOD measurements, while the diamonds denote the AERONET nighttime AOD measurements. All circles are colorcoded according to AOD values. See text for details. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 6. Spatial distribution of number of days having AOD retrievals from (a) the VIIRS DNB nighttime retrieval algorithm, (b) MODIS Terra daytime retrieval, and (c) MODIS Aqua daytime retrieval, on 7 days from Sept. 04^{th} to 10^{th} , 2017. (d) Difference between the (a) and the average of (b) and (c). The AOD is aggregated to 0.1×0.1 degree. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 7. Inter-comparison of the retrieved DNB and model AOD with observations for the case study in the 2017 fire season. Comparison of (a) DNB and (b) MERRA-2 AOD with AERONET interpolated AOD from daytime observations all for Sept. 4th to 10th, 2017. Comparison of (c) DNB and (d) MERRA-2 AOD with CALIOP AOD for Sept. 4th, 2017. The solid red line is the 1:1 line, the solid black line is the regression line, and the dotted lines represent the AOD error envelopes (EE) \pm (0.085 + 0.1AOD). The orange dots denote AODs that are greater than 0.5 (e.g., smoke conditions). See text for details. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

maps of Fig. 5b and Fig. 5c. Over the following 8 h, the smoke gradually moved eastward and finally entered western Wyoming, as shown in the daytime AOD in Fig. 5d. Also, high AOD values can be observed over Seattle in both DNB AOD and MODIS MAIAC AOD, while on the borders between Washington, Oregon, and Idaho, both retrievals show relatively low AOD on Sept. 6th when compared to surrounding areas. It can also be observed that the low AOD layer moved eastward from 1030 UTC (Fig. 5a) to 2100 UTC (Fig. 5b). It is apparent that the map of nighttime AOD distribution supplements the daytime AOD map to offer a more insightful and complete description of diurnal smoke transport, which is highly nonlinear. The AOD map at night cannot be obtained by simply interpolating the two daytime AOD maps because (a) the transport is not linear as a function of time, and (2) new smoke layers can be generated by new fires at night.

Furthermore, because atmospheric conditions are often relatively stable at night, the presence of the cloud at night would be less frequent than in the daytime (Bergman and Salby, 1997; Delgado-Bonal et al., 2020). Thus, it is possible to have more AOD retrieval during the night. Fig. 6 compares the AOD retrieval frequency coverage of the DNB and

MODIS MAIAC during the seven days of the first case study. It is clear that, for the western coastal states of California and Oregon, the nighttime AOD maps have higher spatial and temporal coverages, often offering valid AOD values for 5-6 days out of 7 for a given location. For daytime Terra and Aqua, the valid sampling rate is 3-4 days out of 7. For daytime cloud-prone regions near the west coastline, MODIS Aqua has only 1-2 days with AOD reported during one week's time; however, that number is up to 5 days for VIIRS nighttime AOD. In general, the average daily retrieval rate of DNB is \sim 80%, which is much higher than \sim 58% of the MODIS MAIAC. Further comparison in Fig. 6d reveals, in a weekly sense, 40.57% of pixels had more frequent DNB retrieval than MODIS MAIAC retrieval. Of course, the comparison results could be different on moonless nights when our technique cannot be applied. It should be noted that it is possible that the bright surface could also cause AOD retrieval for algorithms such as MODIS dark target to be missed. However, for MODIS MAIAC, this impact could be small since it utilized the blue band, where the impact of surface reflectance is small, to retrieve AOD. Therefore, the bright surface should have little impact on the retrieval ability of MODIS MAIAC AOD.

Fig. 7 shows the quantitative comparison of the τ_{DNB} with τ_{ITS} and $\tau_{\rm CN}$. Also shown is the comparison with $\tau_{\rm M2}$. Clearly, $\tau_{\rm DNB}$ is better correlated with τ_{TTS} with a correlation coefficient (*R*) of 0.94 comparing to τ_{M2} and τ_{TTS} pairs (R = 0.79). The RMSE of τ_{DNB} is 0.15, much lower than the 0.3 of MERRA-2. The total amount of data points in τ_{DNB} is less than that of MERRA-2 because τ_{DNB} is retrieved in cloud-free (clear-sky) conditions only. Although data samplings were limited, the collocated AERONET-DNB AOD pairs show 62.5% falling in an error envelope (EE) of \pm (0.085 + 0.10AOD). However, the DNB AOD shows a positive bias of \sim 0.03, indicating that our work tends to overestimate the AOD compared to the AERONET counterpart. This overestimation may be caused by the artificial light contamination surrounding the AERONET sites, although city light pixels are masked before retrieval. The path radiance from the cities and other transient and semi-transient surface light sources, such as traffic lights and wildfires, would illuminate the pixels surrounding the AERONET sites, thus increasing the TOA reflectance and consequently leading to a positive bias of τ_{DNB} retrievals. This bias is expected to be larger when the aerosol loading is larger and the multiple scatterings of atmosphere are enhanced, leading to larger path radiance at the location near the light source. This 3D effect is not considered in our retrieval.

In reference to τ_{CN} , τ_{DNB} shows better performance in describing the spatial variation of smoke at night than τ_{M2} (Fig. 7c-d). On Sept. 4th, CALIPSO overpassed the DOI. Fig. 8 shows the map of the τ_{DNB} and CALIOP backscattering image. Silimar to Fig. 4, wildfires are marked as

red dots in Fig. 8a. Driven by a high-pressure system presenting in southeastern Oregon, the smoke plumes generated by the wildfires were transported to the north and then to the east, covering Washington, Idaho, and Montana. $\tau_{\rm CN}$ was aggregated to 50 km and denoted as color dots along with the CALIOP ground trajectory in Fig. 8b. Fig. 8c is the CALIOP backscattering image. τ_{CN} and τ_{DNB} are denoted as white and orange lines in the images. As shown in Fig. 8b and c, the DNB retrieval captures the elevated AOD that CALIOP observed in the areas between 46.25°N, -117.39°W and 42.5°N, -120.19°W. Indeed, the CALIOP AOD reached its peak of ~2 at 48.2°N, 118.2° W, an area downwind from the fires that originated at the edge of Washington and British Columbia. Unfortunately, the DNB approach provides few retrievals over these regions due to significant cloud cover, as verified by the backscattering image in Fig. 9c. In areas that were gradually far away from the fire source region, the spatial variation of τ_{DNB} matched well with that of $\tau_{\rm CN}$, especially for areas in the middle of Oregon. As a contrast, although MERRA-2 does provide full spatial coverage of AOD, it missed the AOD peak around 48.2°N, 118.2°W and misplaced the AOD peak around 45.34°N, 119.2°W. We point out that MERRA-2 does not assimilate aerosol height information from CALIOP, so its assumptions that smoke emissions occur in the boundary layer could explain the incorrect transport where, for this event, the smoke is seen in an elevated layer (Fig. 8c).

The quantitative comparison between τ_{DNB} and τ_{CN} is shown in Fig. 7c. In general, τ_{DNB} correlated positively with τ_{CN} with *R* of 0.94 and



Fig. 8. Inter-comparison DNB AOD retrieval with CALIOP observations on Sept. 4th, 2017. (a) VIIRS nighttime image, (b) DNB AOD map with CALIOP observations, (c) CALIOP backscattering image overlaid with AOD (right y-axis) retrieved from VIIRS DNB, MERRA-2, and CALIOP, (d) MERRA-2 AOD map with CALIOP AOD. The white line in (c) is the CALIOP AOD trajectory, while the orange and green lines are the AOD trajectories of VIIRS DNB and MERRA-2, respectively. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 9. Satellite images and AOD retrievals for case II. (a - b): VIIRS NPP true color and nighttime DNB image on Sept. 29th, 2020. (c - d) are the same as (a - b), but for Sept. 30th, 2020. (e-h) AOD retrievals for the corresponding satellite observations from MODIS and VIIRS DNB in the left column. The white boxes in the AOD map indicate the domain of the special smoke transport events that occurred over the Colorado region. The circles denote the AERONET daytime AOD measurements, while the squares denote the AERONET nighttime AOD measurements.

RMSE of 0.16, and 67% of the τ_{DNB} - τ_{CN} pairs fell in the uncertainty envelope. For most of the paired data (~70%), τ_{DNB} values slightly overestimate τ_{CN} value, especially at elevated smoke regions in between 46.25° N, -117.39° W and 42.5° N, -120.19° W. This bias may be caused by a complicated mix of cloud and aerosol over that region, as shown in Fig. 8c. While CALIOP can distinguish the particle type, DNB

only reveals the column total optical depth. However, DNB does provide AOD retrieval over large areas, providing quantitative information on long-range smoke transport at night.

4.2. Case II: 2020 fire season

The second case study took place during the 2020 fire season, from Sept. 28th to Nov. 5th, when the MIF increased from 90% to 100% and then dropped to 90%. Fig. 9 shows the satellite images (left column) and the corresponding map of AOD retrievals (right column). Red dots on the satellite images denote the fire spots detected by the VIIRS active fire detection algorithm. Fig. 9a and c are the true-color images of Sept. 29th and 30th, while Fig. 9e and g show the corresponding daytime AOD retrieved by the MODIS MAIAC algorithm from Terra and Aqua observation, respectively. These results indicate that smoke generated by the persistent wildfire in coastal California was transported by synoptic scale flow patterns to the southeast. However, upon arrival in the Rocky Mountain region (e.g., Wyoming, Colorado, and New Mexico) the spatial distribution of smoke showed two distinguishable patterns, revealed in the map of the consecutive daytime AOD retrievals in Fig. 9e and Fig. 9g, respectively. In the early afternoon of Sept. 29th, the smoke generated by the fires that happened in the southern part of Wyoming showed a southeastern transport under the influence of the westerly wind as indicated in Fig. 9e. However, around noon on Sept. 30th, the AOD map of Fig. 9g generated by MODIS MAIAC shows a vast dispersion of smoke over eastern Colorado and northern New Mexico. Several striped shapes of smoke transport can be clearly discerned in Fig. 9g. When only daytime observations are included in the analysis, it is difficult to interpret the sudden pattern change of the smoke transport over this region; the nighttime information provides important additional context.

Fig. 9f presents the nighttime AOD retrieved in this work. When Fig. 9f and its daytime counterpart (Fig. 9g) are compared, there is a

general agreement of the spatial distribution and magnitude of the AOD between the MODIS MAIAC and nighttime DNB retrievals. However, some discrepancies over a small area in California can be discerned, where the DNB AOD reaches 2 while the daytime AOD is around 1.0–1.5. One possible explanation of the overestimation (if we treat the daytime AOD as truth) could be sub-pixel low stratus contamination. As mentioned earlier, the current retrieval algorithm relied mainly on the TEB band to separate the cloud from the cloud-free background, but the TEB technique may be subject to misclassifying low stratus clouds as aerosol layers. Further refinement of cloud mask is planned for future studies.

More interesting diurnal differences of AOD were observed over regions such as the Northwest and Midwest of the U.S., indicating that the diurnal variation of the smoke transport is nonlinear. First, the smoke was primarily transported to the east over the Washington region as shown in Fig. 9f, while the following morning, MODIS AOD indicated a southeasterly dominant transport to the southwest. Second, DNB AOD retrievals indicate that the smoke over the Rocky Mountain region was transported southward along the Front Range of the Rockies, forming an "L" shape in the AOD distribution that cannot be seen from the daytime AOD observations.

Fig. 10a offers a close-in view of the AOD map for the special events over the Colorado region presented in Fig. 9. MERRA-2 AOD data were used as a comparison, as shown in Fig. 10b. The meteorological fields from the NCEP's High Resolution Rapid Refresh (HRRR) (Blaylock et al., 2017) model (3 km spatial resolution) were used to help interpret the observed L shape of AOD distribution. As shown in Fig. 10a and the vertical wind structure of Fig. 10c, the wind direction at 750 mb and



Fig. 10. Zoomed-in plot of the AOD map over the Colorado region (white boxes in Fig. 9). (a) DNB AOD map at midnight of Sept. 29th, 2020; (b) MERRA-2 AOD map at VIIRS overpass time; (c) HRRR wind profile at VIIRS overpass time. The yellow dashed line on the DNB AOD map is the 750 mb geopotential height (in unit of m) contour extracted from HRRR, while the yellow dashed line on the MERRA-2 map is the 750 mb geopotential height contour of MERRA-2. The L-shaped distribution of smoke layer in (a) is marked with three colored lines denoting three segments. Also shown is the horizonal wind vector in (c) at different pressure levels, with north pointing upward. Note that a small but eastward (pointing to the right-hand side) dominated wind was found in Segment 3 at and below the 750 mb pressure level, favoring the formation of the L shape of the AOD map. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

higher pressure levels (refers to lower in altitude) was dominated by a northerly wind, indicating that the meteorological conditions at the Front Range of the Rocky Mountain (denoted as the second segment in both figures) favor the transport of smoke to the south. After reaching Pueblo, the smoke was transported along the Arkansas River, forming the other limb of the L shape shown as Segment 3. This sudden change of transport direction can be rationalized by examining the third segment of the wind distribution in Fig. 10c. A weak eastward wind dominates between the surface and the pressure level of 750 mb. This sudden change in wind direction may be a result of the combined effects of meteorology and topography that finally favored the eastern transport of smoke. This nighttime L shape of smoke distribution can also partially explain several strips of AOD that presented over eastern Colorado around noon the following day. During the daytime of Sept. 30th, with the increase of the zonal wind speed, the wind carried the smoke that was at the Front Range of the Rocky Mountains the previous night to Eastern Colorado, forming the L shape of the smoke distribution observed by the MODIS. This event again emphasizes the importance of having a nighttime retrieval of AOD. Traditionally, a linear interpolation of the two daytime AOD maps would be used to create the nighttime map of AOD. In this highly nonlinear-with-time transport case, the linear interpolation of daytime-retrieved AOD would overemphasize the AOD over western Colorado, which is not present in the observed nighttime AOD by VIIRS, and the sharp southerly feature would be missed entirely.

Quantitative comparisons of τ_{DNB} with multiple sources of AOD are shown in Fig. 11. Similar to case I, τ_{M2} is used as a reference for comparison. The significant difference in the data points available for τ_{ITS} and the τ_{LNR} are due to the fact that τ_{ITS} relies on a 20-h temporal window to acquire two data points from the AERONET daytime measurements, which may be rather difficult compared to direct nighttime measurements. Fig. 11 shows that comparison results corroborate the conclusions drawn from the first case study; τ_{DNB} correlates with τ_{ITS} , $\tau_{\rm CN}$, and $\tau_{\rm LNR}$ with *R* values of 0.89, 0.96, and 0.95, respectively. The corresponding RMSEs are 0.22, 0.17, and 0.15, respectively. Further error analysis toward the comparison of τ_{LNR} and τ_{DNB} indicates that the 1σ retrieval error are ~0.086. For MERRA-2, the statistical comparison of τ_{M2} with the three AOD data sets (τ_{ITS} , τ_{CN} , and τ_{LNR}) indicates that the model's nighttime performance is not as good as the daytime when several observed AOD data sets are assimilated. When AOD is greater than 0.5, the statistics are even worse. For example, only 44% of the τ_{M2} $-\tau_{\rm LNR}$ pairs fall into the defined error envelopes, compared to 72% of the τ_{DNB} – τ_{LNR} pairs. This indicates that a pure nighttime AOD is important to constrain the model simulation for high AOD scenarios like smoke events at night. It is more interesting to notice, according to Fig. 11a, only 52% of the $\tau_{\text{DNB}} - \tau_{\text{TTS}}$ pairs fall in the uncertainty envelopes. The fraction increases to 86% and 69% for the $\tau_{DNB}-\tau_{CN}$ pairs and $\tau_{\text{DNB}} - \tau_{\text{LNR}}$ pairs, shown as Fig. 11c and Fig. 11e. The dramatic increases of the fall-in-EE fraction again indicate that although interpolating daytime AOD to nighttime is a convenient way of getting references for nighttime AOD, it may introduce errors and cannot explain the nonlinear diurnal variation of the AOD.

4.3. Uncertainty analysis

The uncertainty sources of τ_{DNB} essentially lie in four areas: (1) the lunar irradiance database, (2) the DNB calibration uncertainty, (3) the surface representation, and (4) the aerosol models. While the lunar irradiance database and DNB calibration are independent uncertainty sources for the DNB retrieval, they function together to determine the TOA reflectance of the DNB observation. Miller and Turner (2009) estimated that the overall uncertainty of the lunar irradiance database is $7\% \sim 17\%$, increasing with the moon phase angle. For most of the scenarios that DNB can observe, this uncertainty is $7\% \sim 12\%$. Because the dynamic range of the DNB observation is in approximately seven orders of magnitude for its corresponding low/mid/high gain stage, the radiometric calibration of the DNB is complex (Chen et al., 2017; Lei

et al., 2020). For the nighttime scenarios where the high gain stage is applied, calibration uncertainty is approximately 15% across the entire radiometric range. To evaluate the effect of the TOA reflectance on the retrieval, we changed the lunar irradiance by $\pm 12\%$ and $\pm 15\%$ while keeping the rest of the parameters the same during the retrieval process. Our calculation shows that a 12% change in the TOA reflectance would cause a <17% relative bias of AOD retrieval. For a 15% change, the relative error is <20%. Considering that the monthly AOD at 550 nm is below 0.4 (Li et al., 2015), we estimate the uncertainty due to the lunar irradiance database and DNB calibration will be less than 0.07 and 0.08, respectively.

The retrieval uncertainty from the surface reflectance characterization is first analyzed by using a long-term analysis of the MODIS surface albedo products. Ten years of MODIS surface albedo products were used to derive the relative deviation (RD) of albedo retrieval at MODIS channel 2 (858 nm) and channel 4 (555 nm). We found that the RD was below 1% when the albedo was greater than 0.1 for both channels. The maximum RD occurs when the albedo was extremely small (<0.05) and was less than 7.5%. As shown in Fig. 2(b), a 0.05 error envelope was sufficient to include errors in the RF-based estimates of surface albedo. Thus, a \pm (0.05 + 7.5% albedo) variation of surface albedo was used to test the sensitivity of the surface albedo to the retrievals. However, it should be noted that the 0.05 intercept may lead to a negative albedo when the albedo was smaller than 0.05. In this case, only $\pm 7.5\%$ was applied. The simulation showed that this magnitude of albedo variations would lead to less than 0.03 \pm 0.02 AOD uncertainty in AOD retrieval. The uncertainty due to aerosol optical properties has been analyzed in several past studies. Considering an SSA of 0.03 will lead to an AOD retrieval uncertainty of 8% for an AOD around 0.5 (Wang et al., 2003a).

The uncertainty in AOD retrieval caused by reflectance smoothing using a window of 3×3 pixels was also investigated. The analysis of the spatially and temporally collocated AERONET-VIIRS nighttime AOD pairs (as in Fig. 11) showed that the retrieval error difference was less than 4% as the threshold (number of valid pixels in 3×3 spatial window) for smoothing changed from 5 to 7 and that there was no significant difference as the threshold changed from 7 to 9 (Fig. S7). This is expected because if the reflectance of a DNB pixel was missing, it could be an indicator not only that the current pixel had a quality issue, but also that adjacent pixels might contain a certain degree of contamination from, for example, sub-pixel cloud or wildfire.

When dealing with the Rayleigh scattering, the current algorithm adopted a fixed mid-latitude summer atmospheric profile with surface pressure of 1013 hPa (equivalent to an optical depth of 0.045 after consideration of the DNB spectral range and relative response functions), which may introduce a 0.01 low bias into the AOD retrieval (since in most place, surface pressure over land varies less than 20% from 1013 hPa).

Through the analysis above, an average uncertainty of 0.12 for the AOD retrieval is expected in this work with a maximum uncertainty of 0.2. Note that those uncertainties from various sources could be random and offset each other. Hence, the overall uncertainty provided here is an upper limit to quantify the total uncertainty of the retrieval. The actual comparison with several independent data sets indicates an average uncertainty (1-sigma) of 0.085 and an uncertainty envelope of 0.085 + 0.10AOD (in which at least 67% of data pairs reside).

5. Summary and discussion

We developed the first algorithm for nighttime aerosol optical depth retrieval from VIIRS DNB moonlight observation. The algorithm was applied to DNB observations for wildfire events over the continental U.S. for Sept. 2017 and Oct. 2020, when large amounts of smoke were generated by the persistent wildfires and transported across the continental U.S. We found that the AOD values retrieved from DNB were in good agreement with the AOD retrieved from multiple sources such as MODIS MAIAC, CALIOP, and AERONET. For both smoke events



Fig. 11. Inter-comparison of the retrieved DNB and MERRA-2 AOD with observations for the case study in the 2020 fire season. Comparison of (a) DNB and (b) MERRA-2 AOD with AERONET interpolated AOD, all for Sept. 28th to Oct. 5th, 2020; Comparison of (c) DNB and (d) MERRA-2 AOD with CALIOP AOD for Oct. 1st and 3rd, 2020. Comparison of (e) DNB and (f) MERRA-2 AOD with AERONET nighttime lunar AOD, all for Sept. 28th to Oct. 5th, 2020. The solid red line is the 1:1 line, the solid black line is the regression line, and the dotted lines represent the AOD error envelopes \pm (0.085 + 10% AOD). The orange dots denote AODs that are greater than 0.5. See text for details. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

15

illustrated in this paper, the linear correlations are ~0.90, with ~86% of the DNB AOD retrievals falling in a \pm (0.085 + 10%AOD) error envelope. The main implications of this work are twofold. First, the DNB nighttime AOD retrievals can provide global aerosol information at night when moon illumination fractions (MIF) permits, which allows further study of the diurnal variation of aerosol. Such information is valuable for constraining and evaluating the distribution and concentration of the nighttime aerosols estimated by the chemistry transport model. Second, the retrieved nighttime AOD can be used to provide strong constraints on the forecast of the 24-h surface air quality. This is clearly illustrated by the fact that the DNB AOD retrieval is superior to the MERRA-2 AOD when compared to AERONET, as shown in our case studies.

Due to the limitations of nighttime observation and the panchromatic property of the DNB, this algorithm is a single-band retrieval and relies on assumptions of surface reflectance and aerosol model. Currently, our algorithm adopts a random forest model to determine the spectral surface reflectance of DNB from MODIS surface climatology. However, the accuracy of the random forest model is limited by the comprehensiveness of the training data set. Consequently, it may lack the ability to capture the seasonal variation of the surface and may introduce bias to the AOD retrieval. Second, the current algorithm incorporates a wildfire smoke aerosol climatology model derived from AERONET observations during wildfire seasons and thus restricts its application to smoke scenes over the continental U.S. Third, the current algorithm relies on moonlight as its illumination source. Considering the strength of the moonlight and the DNB sensitivity, it will only retrieve AOD for days whose MIF is greater than 75%, which effectively enables DNB retrieval of 10 days in 30 days. This MIF threshold is slightly higher than that (50%) used in the AERONET nighttime lunar retrieval; the latter enables AERONET to have an average of 15 days with nighttime AOD retrieval in a month. Fourth, the moonlight observation may be contaminated by surface light sources such as the light dome effect of city light and the 3D effect of the cloud, or by lights from parts of the active fire itself. Such contamination would introduce a positive bias into the AOD retrieval. Complementary AOD retrieval methods, wherein modulation of known/stable surface lights by aerosol, may be useful in these areas. Nevertheless, the work in this paper further underscores the importance and potential of nighttime AOD remote sensing from space. It is therefore foreseeable that, in the future, a low-light imager with multiple bands could further improve AOD and aerosol properties characterization from space by using moonlight, city light, or both.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by NASA FIREX-AQ grant (80NSSC18K0791), the Office of Naval Research (ONR) Multidisciplinary University Research Initiatives (MURI) Program under the award N00014-16-1-2040, NASA Terra/Aqua/SNPP Science program (grant #: 80NSSC21K1976) and Modeling and Analysis Program (MAP, grant #: 80NSSC21K1976) and NOAA Office of Atmospheric Research (award #: NA21OAR4310249). Z. M. also acknowledges the support of Future Investigators in NASA Earth and Space Science and Technology (FINESST) program (grant #: 80NSSC21K1628). We acknowledge the public availability of VIIRS data from the NASA Earth Observing System Data and Information System (EOSDIS), and the CALIOP Level 2 aerosol profile data from the NASA Langley Research Center Atmospheric Science Data Center. We thank all Principal Investigators, Co-Principal Investigators, and their staff for establishing and maintaining the AER-ONET sites used in this investigation. We also acknowledge the National

Air Pollution Surveillance (NAPS) program of the EPA (https://aqs.epa. gov/aqsweb/airdata/download_files.html) and the NOAA/National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) for implementing and maintaining HRRR (https://rapidrefresh.noaa.gov/).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112717.

References

- Adhikary, B., Kulkarni, S., Dallura, A., Tang, Y., Chai, T., Leung, L.R., et al., 2008. A regional scale chemical transport modeling of Asian aerosols with data assimilation of AOD observations using optimal interpolation technique. Atmos. Environ. 42 (37), 8600–8615. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1 352231008007929.
- Anenberg, S.C., Achakulwisut, P., Brauer, M., Moran, D., Apte, J.S., Henze, D.K., 2019. Particulate matter-attributable mortality and relationships with carbon dioxide in 250 urban areas worldwide. Sci. Rep. 9 (1), 11552. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-019-48057-9.
- Baldridge, A.M., Hook, S.J., Grove, C.I., Rivera, G., 2009. The ASTER spectral library version 2.0. Remote Sens. Environ. 113 (4), 711–715. https://www.sciencedirect.co m/science/article/pii/S0034425708003441.
- Barreto, Á., Cuevas, E., Granados-Muñoz, M.J., Alados-Arboledas, L., Romero, P.M., Gröbner, J., et al., 2016. The new sun-sky-lunar Cimel CE318-T multiband photometer – a comprehensive performance evaluation. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 9 (2), 631–654. https://amt.copernicus.org/articles/9/631/2016/.
- Bergman, J.W., Salby, M.L., 1997. The role of cloud diurnal variations in the time-mean energy budget. J. Clim. 10 (5), 1114–1124. https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/jour nals/clim/10/5/1520-0442 1997 010 1114 trocdv 2.0.co 2.xml.
- Berkoff, T.A., Sorokin, M., Stone, T., Eck, T.F., Hoff, R., Welton, E., Holben, B., 2011. Nocturnal aerosol optical depth measurements with a small-aperture automated photometer using the moon as a light source. J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol. 28 (10), 1297–1306. https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/atot/28/10/jtech-d-10-05 036 1.xml.
- Blaylock, B.K., Horel, J.D., Liston, S.T., 2017. Cloud archiving and data mining of highresolution rapid refresh forecast model output. Comput. Geosci. 109, 43–50. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2017.08.005.
- Boucher, O., Randall, D., Artaxo, P., Bretherton, C., Feingold, G., Forster, P., et al., 2013. Clouds and aerosols. In: Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (Eds.), Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 571–658.
- Buchard, V., Randles, C.A., Da Silva, A.M., Darmenov, A., Colarco, P.R., Govindaraju, R., Yu, H., 2017. The MERRA-2 aerosol reanalysis, 1980 onward. Part II: Evaluation and case studies. J. Clim. 30 (17), 6851–6872. https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journa ls/clim/30/17/jcli-d-16-0613.1.xml?tab_body=fulltext-display.
- Chen, H., Xiong, X., Sun, C., Chen, X., Chiang, K., 2017. Suomi-NPP VIIRS day-night band on-orbit calibration and performance. J. Appl. Remote. Sens. 11 (3), 036019.
- Clark, R.N., Swayze, G.A., Wise, R.A., Livo, K.E., Hoefen, T.M., Kokaly, R.F., Sutley, S.J., 2007. USGS digital spectral library splib06a (No. 231). US Geol. Surv. https://pubs. er.usgs.gov/publication/ds231.
- Csiszar, I., Schroeder, W., Giglio, L., Ellicott, E., Vadrevu, K.P., Justice, C.O., Wind, B., 2014. Active fires from the Suomi NPP visible infrared imaging radiometer suite: product status and first evaluation results. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 119 (2), 803–816.
- Delgado-Bonal, A., Marshak, A., Yang, Y., Oreopoulos, L., 2020. Daytime variability of cloud fraction from DSCOVR/EPIC observations. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 125 (10) e2019JD031488.
- Ding, S., Wang, J., Xu, X., 2016. Polarimetric remote sensing in oxygen A and B bands: sensitivity study and information content analysis for vertical profile of aerosols. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 9 (5), 2077–2092. https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/9/2077/2 016/.
- Elvidge, C.D., Baugh, K., Zhizhin, M., Hsu, F.C., Ghosh, T., 2017. VIIRS night-time lights. Int. J. Remote Sens. 38 (21), 5860–5879. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 01431161.2017.1342050.
- Fu, D., Xia, X., Duan, M., Zhang, X., Li, X., Wang, J., Liu, J., 2018. Mapping nighttime PM2.5 from VIIRS DNB using a linear mixed-effect model. Atmos. Environ. 178, 214–222. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231018300736.
- Gelaro, R., McCarty, W., Suárez, M.J., et al., 2017. The modern-era retrospective analysis for research and applications, version 2 (MERRA-2). J. Clim. 30 (13), 5419–5454. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0758.1. https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/j ournals/clim/30/14/jcli-d-16-0758.1.xml.
- Getzewich, B.J., Tackett, J.L., Kar, J., Garnier, A., Vaughan, M.A., Hunt, B., 2016. CALIOP Calibration: Version 4.0 Algorithm Updates. EPJ Web of Conferences, 119, p. 04013. https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/201611904013.
- Giles, D., Slutsker, I., Schafer, J., Sorokin, M.G., Smirnov, A., Eck, T.F., et al., 2019a. Uncertainty and bias in AERONET nighttime AOD measurements. AGUFM 2019, A23R–3050.

M. Zhou et al.

Giles, D.M., Sinyuk, A., Sorokin, M.G., Schafer, J.S., Smirnov, A., Slutsker, I., et al., 2019b. Advancements in the Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) Version 3 database–automated near-real-time quality control algorithm with improved cloud screening for Sun photometer aerosol optical depth (AOD) measurements. Atmos. Measure Tech. 12 (1).

- Heymann, D.L., 2008. Control of Communicable Diseases Manual. American Public Health Association.
- Hou, W., Wang, J., Xu, X., Reid, J.S., 2017. An algorithm for hyperspectral remote sensing of aerosols: 2. Information content analysis for aerosol parameters and principal components of surface spectra. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 192, 14–29. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022407316306604.

reflecting source regions. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 42 (3), 557–569.

- Hsu, N.C., Lee, J., Sayer, A.M., Kim, W., Bettenhausen, C., Tsay, S.-C., 2019. VIIRS deep blue aerosol products over land: extending the EOS long-term aerosol data records. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 124 (7), 4026–4053. https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley. com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018JD029688.
- Hu, Z., 2009. Spatial analysis of MODIS aerosol optical depth, PM2.5, and chronic coronary heart disease. Int. J. Health Geogr. 8 (1), 27. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1476-072X-8-27.
- Hu, Z., Rao, K.R., 2009. Particulate air pollution and chronic ischemic heart disease in the eastern United States: a county level ecological study using satellite aerosol data. Environ. Health 8 (1), 26. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-8-26.
- Hu, X., Waller, L.A., Lyapustin, A., Wang, Y., Al-Hamdan, M.Z., Crosson, W.L., et al., 2014. Estimating ground-level PM2.5 concentrations in the Southeastern United States using MAIAC AOD retrievals and a two-stage model. Remote Sens. Environ. 140, 220–232. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034425 713002903.
- Huang, J., Kondragunta, S., Laszlo, I., Liu, H., Remer, L.A., Zhang, H., et al., 2016. Validation and expected error estimation of Suomi-NPP VIIRS aerosol optical thickness and Ångström exponent with AERONET. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 121 (12), 7139–7160. https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/201 6JD024834.
- Huff, A.K., Kondragunta, S., Zhang, H., Laszlo, I., Zhou, M., Caicedo, V., Levy, R., 2021. Tracking smoke from a prescribed fire and its impacts on local air quality using temporally resolved GOES-16 ABI Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD). J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol. 38 (5), 963–976. https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/atot/aop/JT ECH-D-20-0162.1/JTECH-D-20-0162.1.xml.
- Jackson, J.M., Liu, H., Laszlo, I., Kondragunta, S., Remer, L.A., Huang, J., Huang, H.C., 2013. Suomi-NPP VIIRS aerosol algorithms and data products. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 118 (22), 12,673-612,689.
- Johnson, R.S., Zhang, J., Hyer, E.J., Miller, S.D., Reid, J.S., 2013. Preliminary investigations toward nighttime aerosol optical depth retrievals from the VIIRS Day/ Night Band. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 6 (5), 1245–1255. https://www.atmos-meas-tech.ne t/6/1245/2013/.
- Kessner, A.L., Wang, J., Levy, R.C., Colarco, P.R., 2013. Remote sensing of surface visibility from space: a look at the United States East Coast. Atmos. Environ. 81, 136–147.
- Kloog, I., Koutrakis, P., Coull, B.A., Lee, H.J., Schwartz, J., 2011. Assessing temporally and spatially resolved PM2.5 exposures for epidemiological studies using satellite aerosol optical depth measurements. Atmos. Environ. 45 (35), 6267–6275. http:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231011009125.
- Kokhanovsky, A., 2019. Springer Series in Light Scattering: Volume 4: Light Scattering and Radiative Transfer. Springer.
- Konya, K., Yamaguchi, M., Takigawa, M., Miyakawa, T., O'Neel, S., 2021. Mass concentration and origin of black carbon in spring snow on glaciers in the Alaska Range. Polar Sci. 27, 100572. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S1873965220300815.
- Kopp, T.J., Thomas, W., Heidinger, A.K., Botambekov, D., Frey, R.A., Hutchison, K.D., et al., 2014. The VIIRS Cloud Mask: progress in the first year of S-NPP toward a common cloud detection scheme. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 119 (5), 2441–2456. https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2013JD020458.
- Lee, J., Kim, J., Song, C.H., Ryu, J.-H., Ahn, Y.-H., Song, C.K., 2010. Algorithm for retrieval of aerosol optical properties over the ocean from the Geostationary Ocean Color Imager. Remote Sens. Environ. 114 (5), 1077–1088. http://www.sciencedirec t.com/science/article/pii/S0034425709003770.
- Lei, N., Xiong, X., Wang, Z., Li, S., Twedt, K., 2020. SNPP VIIRS RSB on-orbit radiometric calibration algorithms Version 2.0 and the performances, part 2: the performances. J. Appl. Remote. Sens. 14 (4), 047502.
- Lennartson, E.M., Wang, J., Gu, J., Castro Garcia, L., Ge, C., Gao, M., et al., 2018. Diurnal variation of aerosol optical depth and PM2.5 in South Korea: a synthesis from AERONET, satellite (GOCI), KORUS-AQ observation, and the WRF-Chem model. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 18 (20), 15125–15144. https://acp.copernicus.org/arti cles/18/15125/2018/.
- Levy, R.C., Remer, L.A., Tanré, D., Kaufman, Y.J., Ichoku, C., Holben, B.N., et al., 2003. Evaluation of the Moderate-Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) retrievals of dust aerosol over the ocean during PRIDE. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 108 (D19). https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2002JD002460.
- Li, J., Carlson, B.E., Lacis, A.A., 2015. How well do satellite AOD observations represent the spatial and temporal variability of PM2.5 concentration for the United States? Atmos. Environ. 102, 260–273. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S1352231014009583.
- Li, Z., Li, K., Li, D., Yang, J., Xu, H., Goloub, P., Victori, S., 2016. Simple transfer calibration method for a Cimel Sun–Moon photometer: calculating lunar calibration coefficients from Sun calibration constants. Appl. Opt. 55 (27), 7624–7630. http:// ao.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI=ao-55-27-7624.

Remote Sensing of Environment 267 (2021) 112717

- Liao, L.B., Stephanie, W., Steve, M., Bruce, H., 2013. Suomi NPP VIIRS day-night band on-orbit performance. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 118 (22), 12,705-712,718. https:// agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2013JD020475.
- Liu, H., Laszlo, I., Ciren, P., Zhou, M., Kondragunta, S., 2008. An evaluation of the GOES-R ABI aerosol retrieval algorithm. In: Paper presented at the IGARSS 2008 - 2008 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 7-11 July 2008.
- Liu, H., Remer, L.A., Huang, J., Huang, H.C., Kondragunta, S., Laszlo, I., et al., 2014. Preliminary evaluation of S-NPP VIIRS aerosol optical thickness. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 119 (7), 3942–3962.
- Lyapustin, A., Wang, Y., Laszlo, I., Kahn, R., Korkin, S., Remer, L., et al., 2011. Multiangle implementation of atmospheric correction (MAIAC): 2. Aerosol algorithm. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 116 (D3). https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley. com/doi/abs/10.1029/2010JD014986.
- Miller, S.D., Turner, R.E., 2009. A dynamic lunar spectral irradiance data set for NPOESS/VIIRS day/night band nighttime environmental applications. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 47 (7), 2316–2329.
- Miller, S.D., Straka, W., Mills, S.P., Elvidge, C.D., Lee, T.F., Solbrig, J., et al., 2013. Illuminating the capabilities of the Suomi national polar-orbiting partnership (NPP) visible infrared imaging radiometer suite (VIIRS) day/night band. Remote Sens. 5 (12), 6717–6766. https://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/5/12/6717.
- Mills, S., Weiss, S., Liang, C., 2013. VIIRS day/night band (DNB) stray light characterization and correction. In: Paper Presented at the Earth Observing Systems XVIII.
- Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., et al., 2013. Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing. In: Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (Eds.), Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 659–740.
- Omar, A.H., Won, J.G., Winker, D.M., Yoon, S.C., Dubovik, O., McCormick, M.P., 2005. Development of global aerosol models using cluster analysis of Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) measurements. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 110 (D10).
- Polivka, T.N., Hyer, E.J., Wang, J., Peterson, D.A., 2015. First global analysis of saturation artifacts in the VIIRS infrared channels and the effects of sample aggregation. IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett. 12 (6), 1262–1266.
- Polivka, T.N., Wang, J., Ellison, L.T., Hyer, E.J., Ichoku, C.M., 2016. Improving nocturnal fire detection with the VIIRS day–night band. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 54 (9), 5503–5519.
- Pope, C.A., Dockery, D.W., 2006. Health effects of fine particulate air pollution: lines that connect. J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc. 56 (6), 709–742. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10473289.2006.10464485.
- Pöschl, U., 2005. Atmospheric aerosols: composition, transformation, climate and health effects. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 44 (46), 7520–7540.
- Randles, C.A., da Silva, A.M., Buchard, V., Colarco, P.R., Darmenov, A., Govindaraju, R., et al., 2017. The MERRA-2 aerosol reanalysis, 1980 onward. Part I: system description and data assimilation evaluation. J. Clim. 30 (17), 6823–6850. https ://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/clim/30/17/jcli-d-16-0609.1.xml.

Reid, J.S., Kuehn, R.E., Holz, R.E., Eloranta, E.W., Kaku, K.C., Kuang, S., et al., 2017. Ground-based high spectral resolution lidar observation of aerosol vertical distribution in the summertime Southeast United States. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 122 (5), 2970–3004. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025798.

Remer, L.A., Kaufman, Y., Tanré, D., Mattoo, S., Chu, D., Martins, J.V., et al., 2005. The MODIS aerosol algorithm, products, and validation. J. Atmos. Sci. 62 (4), 947–973.

- Román, M.O., Wang, Z., Sun, Q., Kalb, V., Miller, S.D., Molthan, A., et al., 2018. NASA's black marble nighttime lights product suite. Remote Sens. Environ. 210, 113–143. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S003442571830110X.
- Saunders, R., 1986. An automated scheme for the removal of cloud contamination from AVHRR radiances over western Europe. Int. J. Remote Sens. 7 (7), 867–886.
- Saunders, R.W., Kriebel, K.T., 1988. An improved method for detecting clear sky and cloudy radiances from AVHRR data. Int. J. Remote Sens. 9 (1), 123–150. https://doi. org/10.1080/01431168808954841.
- Sawyer, V., Levy, R.C., Mattoo, S., Cureton, G., Shi, Y., Remer, L.A., 2020. Continuing the MODIS dark target aerosol time series with VIIRS. Remote Sens. 12 (2), 308. https://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/12/2/308.
- Schlyter, P., 2010. Computing Planetary Positions-A Tutorial with Worked Examples. Downloaded March, 26, 2010.
- Sekiyama, T.T., Tanaka, T.Y., Shimizu, A., Miyoshi, T., 2010. Data assimilation of CALIPSO aerosol observations. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 10 (1), 39–49. https://www.at mos-chem-phys.net/10/39/2010/.
- Sessions, W.R., Reid, J.S., Benedetti, A., Colarco, P.R., da Silva, A., Lu, S., et al., 2015. Development towards a global operational aerosol consensus: basic climatological characteristics of the International Cooperative for Aerosol Prediction Multi-Model Ensemble (ICAP-MME). Atmos. Chem. Phys. 15 (1), 335–362. https://acp.coperni cus.org/articles/15/335/2015/.

Shao, X., Cao, C., Liu, T.-C., Uprety, S., Zhang, B., Wang, W., Blonski, S., 2018. Characterization and correction of stray light for NOAA-20 VIIRS day/night band. In: Paper presented at the Earth Observing Missions and Sensors: Development, Implementation, and Characterization V.

- Singh, J., Noh, Y.-J., Agrawal, S., Tyagi, B., 2019. Dust detection and aerosol properties over arabian sea using MODIS data. Earth Syst. Environ. 3 (1), 139–152.
- Sinyuk, A., Holben, B.N., Eck, T.F., Giles, D.M., Slutsker, I., Korkin, S., et al., 2020. The AERONET Version 3 aerosol retrieval algorithm, associated uncertainties and comparisons to Version 2. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 13 (6), 3375–3411. https://amt. copernicus.org/articles/13/3375/2020/.

M. Zhou et al.

- Spurr, R., Christi, M., 2019. The LIDORT and VLIDORT linearized scalar and vector discrete ordinate radiative transfer models: Updates in the last 10 years. In: Springer Series in Light Scattering. Springer, pp. 1–62.
- Spurr, R., Wang, J., Zeng, J., Mishchenko, M.I., 2012. Linearized T-matrix and Mie scattering computations. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 113 (6), 425–439. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022407311004006.
- Svetnik, V., Liaw, A., Tong, C., Culberson, J.C., Sheridan, R.P., Feuston, B.P., 2003. Random forest: a classification and regression tool for compound classification and QSAR modeling. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 43 (6), 1947–1958. https://pubs.acs. org/doi/abs/10.1021/ci034160g.
- Thieuleux, F., Moulin, C., Breon, F.-M., Maignan, F., Poitou, J., Tanré, D., 2005. Remote sensing of aerosols over the oceans using MSG/SEVIRI imagery. Ann. Geophys. 23 (12), 3561–3568. https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00330068.
- van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R.V., Park, R.J., 2006. Estimating ground-level PM2.5 using aerosol optical depth determined from satellite remote sensing. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 111 (D21) https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006996.
- Wang, J., Christopher, S.A., 2003. Intercomparison between satellite-derived aerosol optical thickness and PM2.5 mass: Implications for air quality studies. Geophys. Res. Lett. 30 (21) https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL018174.
- Wang, J., Christopher, S.A., Brechtel, F., Kim, J., Schmid, B., Redemann, J., et al., 2003a. Geostationary satellite retrievals of aerosol optical thickness during ACE-Asia. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 108 (D23) https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003580.
- Wang, J., Christopher, S.A., Reid, J.S., Maring, H., Savoie, D., Holben, B.N., et al., 2003b. GOES 8 retrieval of dust aerosol optical thickness over the Atlantic Ocean during PRIDE. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 108 (D19) https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002494.
- Wang, J., Nair, U., Christopher, S.A., 2004. GOES-8 aerosol optical thickness assimilation in a mesoscale model: online integration of aerosol radiative effects. J. Geophys. Res. 109, D23203. https://doi.org/10.21029/22004JD004827.
- Wang, J., Xu, X., Henze, D.K., Zeng, J., Ji, Q., Tsay, S.-C., Huang, J., 2012. Top-down estimate of dust emissions through integration of MODIS and MISR aerosol retrievals with the GEOS-Chem adjoint model. Geophys. Res. Lett. 39 (8), L08802. https://doi. org/10.1029/2012GL051136.
- Wang, J., Park, S., Zeng, J., Ge, C., Yang, K., Carn, S., et al., 2013. Modeling of 2008 Kasatochi volcanic sulfate direct radiative forcing: assimilation of OMI SO<sub>2</ sub> plume height data and comparison with MODIS and CALIOP observations. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 13 (4), 1895–1912. https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/13/1 895/2013/.
- Wang, J., Xu, X., Ding, S., Zeng, J., Spurr, R., Liu, X., et al., 2014. A numerical testbed for remote sensing of aerosols, and its demonstration for evaluating retrieval synergy from a geostationary satellite constellation of GEO-CAPE and GOES-R. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 146, 510–528. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ article/pii/S0022407314001368.
- Wang, J., Aegerter, C., Xu, X., Szykman, J.J., 2016. Potential application of VIIRS Day/ Night Band for monitoring nighttime surface PM2.5 air quality from space. Atmos. Environ. 124, 55–63.
- Wang, Y., Wang, J., Levy, R.C., Xu, X., Reid, J.S., 2017. MODIS retrieval of aerosol optical depth over turbid coastal water. Remote Sens. 9 (6), 595.
- Wang, J., Roudini, S., Hyer, E.J., Xu, X., Zhou, M., Garcia, L.C., et al., 2020a. Detecting nighttime fire combustion phase by hybrid application of visible and infrared

radiation from Suomi NPP VIIRS. Remote Sens. Environ. 237, 111466. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034425719304857.

- Wang, J., Zhou, M., Xu, X., Roudini, S., Sander, S.P., Pongetti, T.J., et al., 2020b. Development of a nighttime shortwave radiative transfer model for remote sensing of nocturnal aerosols and fires from VIIRS. Remote Sens. Environ. 241, 111727. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034425720300973.
- Wanner, W., Li, X., Strahler, A.H., 1995. On the derivation of kernels for kernel-driven models of bidirectional reflectance. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 100 (D10), 21077–21089. https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD02371.
- Wolfe, R.E., Lin, G., Nishihama, M., Tewari, K.P., Tilton, J.C., Isaacman, A.R., 2013. Suomi NPP VIIRS prelaunch and on-orbit geometric calibration and characterization. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 118 (20).
- Xian, P., Reid, J.S., Hyer, E.J., Sampson, C.R., Rubin, J.I., Ades, M., et al., 2019. Current state of the global operational aerosol multi-model ensemble: an update from the International Cooperative for Aerosol Prediction (ICAP). Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 145 (S1), 176–209. https://rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/qj.3497.
- Xu, X., Wang, J., 2015. Retrieval of aerosol microphysical properties from AERONET photopolarimetric measurements: 1. Information content analysis. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 120 (14), 7059–7078. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023108.
- Xu, X., Wang, J., Henze, D.K., Qu, W., Kopacz, M., 2013. Constraints on aerosol sources using GEOS-Chem adjoint and MODIS radiances, and evaluation with multisensor (OMI, MISR) data. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 118, 6396–6413. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/jgrd.50515.
- Xu, X., Wang, J., Zeng, J., Spurr, R., Liu, X., Oleg, D., et al., 2015. Retrieval of aerosol microphysical properties from AERONET photopolarimetric measurements: 2. A new research algorithm and case demonstration. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 120 (14), 7079–7098. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023113.
- Xu, X., Wang, J., Wang, Y., Zeng, J., Torres, O., Reid, J.S., et al., 2019. Detecting layer height of smoke aerosols over vegetated land and water surfaces via oxygen absorption bands: hourly results from EPIC/DSCOVR in deep space. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 12 (6), 3269–3288. https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/12/3269/2019/.
- Zhang, J., Reid, J.S., Westphal, D.L., Baker, N.L., Hyer, E.J., 2008. A system for operational aerosol optical depth data assimilation over global oceans. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 113 (D10) https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009065.
- Zhang, H., Lyapustin, A., Wang, Y., Kondragunta, S., Laszlo, I., Ciren, P., Hoff, R.M., 2011. A multi-angle aerosol optical depth retrieval algorithm for geostationary satellite data over the United States. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 11 (23), 11977–11991. https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/11/11977/2011/.
- Zhang, H., Hoff, R.M., Kondragunta, S., Laszlo, I., Lyapustin, A., 2013. Aerosol optical depth (AOD) retrieval using simultaneous GOES-East and GOES-West reflected radiances over the western United States. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 6 (2), 471–486. https://amt.copernicus.org/articles/6/471/2013/.
- Zhang, W., Xu, H., Zheng, F., 2018. Aerosol optical depth retrieval over East Asia using Himawari-8/AHI data. Remote Sens. 10 (1), 137. https://www.mdpi.com/2072-42 92/10/1/137.
- Zhang, J., Jaker, S.L., Reid, J.S., Miller, S.D., Solbrig, J., Toth, T.D., 2019. Characterization and application of artificial light sources for nighttime aerosol optical depth retrievals using the visible infrared imager radiometer suite day/night band. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 12 (6), 3209–3222. https://www.atmos-meas-tech. net/12/3209/2019/.